



Sh Rohit Sabharwal,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4156 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Roopreet –Drug Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.05.2021 has sought information on 12 points regarding blood donation camps organized by Blood Banks like Red Cross/Shree Raghunath Hospital/Christian Medical college/Dayanand Medical College/SNS Pahawa Charitable Hospital alongwith dates of organizing camps, blood quantity collected etc and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 27.08.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on 28.02.2022. The respondent present informed that no such data is maintained and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.01.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant was absent and the representative of the appellant Sukhjinder Kaur, advocate vide email sought adjournment on medical grounds.

Hearing dated 29.06.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 27.01.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Joshi,
273/6, Ward No-9, Taraori,
Distt. Karnal, Haryana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Mandi Gobindgarh,
Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint No. 524 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Dr.Parminderjit Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 10.04.2021 has sought information regarding the detail of admission/treatment of Vaibhav Joshi s/o Sandeep Joshi along with names of doctors who attended the patient – the detail of the post of Dr Wali Mohd. Action if any to be taken if he is practising at home even being in Govt. service - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh. The complainant was not provided with the information provided after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 29.04.2021. The complainant had filed a complaint under Life & Liberty, however, the Hon'ble CIC has ordered to consider the case under the general category.

The case first came up for hearing on 04.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fatehgarh Sahib. Both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the RTI application was filed on 10.04.2021 and there was nothing on file that establishes that the PIO has addressed the RTI application. There has been an enormous delay of six months in attending to the RTI application. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was again directed to provide information to the complainant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

On the date of the last hearing on **03.01.2022**, both the parties were absent.

The PIO also did not file a reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say in the matter and the Commission will take the decision ex-parte. The PIO was also directed to inform who was the PIO at the time of filing of RTI and on the date of issue of show cause notice.

On the date of the last hearing on 19.04.2022, the PIO was absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor has filed a reply to the show cause notice.

Keeping the above-mentioned facts of the case, it was clear that the PIO-SMO Civil Hospital, Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib was flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously and not appearing before the commission despite various orders of the Commission.

Complaint No. 524 of 2021

To secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission, the PIO was issued a bailable warrant Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act through Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib for his presence before the Commission on **29.06.2022**.

Hearing dated 29.06.2022:

Dr.Parminderjit Singh O/o SMO-Civil Hospital Mandi Gobindgarh is present and informed that they received the RTI application on 16.04.2021 and the reply was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 22.04.2021. The respondent has also sent a copy of the information/reply to the Commission which has been taken on record.

The respondent has also submitted a reply to the show cause notice which has been taken on record. In the reply, it has been mentioned that due to the shortage of staff, as well as continuous duties of the staff/doctors to attend to a large number of Covid patients during the second wave of Covid-19, the hearing could not be attended.

Having gone through the facts of the case, the Commission observes that since the RTI application was filed at a time when the 2nd COVID -19 wave was at its peak, this bench after considering all the facts is taking a lenient view on the matter and condoning the delay and hence dropping the show-cause.

Further, since the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and the complainant has not appeared at any of the hearings to represent the case, which clearly shows that the complainant is not keen to pursue his case, no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Chander Pratap, s/o Sh Swami Ji,
Ward No-1, Kala Manj Kothi,
G.T Road, Mukherian,
Distt Hoshiarpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Welfare of SC & BC,
Pb, Civil Secretariat-2,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Welfare of SC & BC, Pb
Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4664 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Chander Partap for the Appellant
Sh.Kamal Sharma, Suptd.-cum-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 31.07.2021 has sought information regarding the action taken on the application dated 10.04.2021 – a copy of the written reply filed by the concerned department on the basis of evidence attached with the application dated 10.04.2021 –the action taken on legal notice dated 11.01.2021 – the reply filed by the concerned department etc. from the office of Principal Secretary, Department of Welfare of SC & BC, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 07.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 16.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent. There has been an enormous delay of more than nine months in providing the information. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time and directed to file a reply on an affidavit.**

The PIO was again directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

Hearing dated 29.06.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Hoshiarpur. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondents present pleaded that they have not received the RTI application of the appellant as well as the application of the appellant. Further, the complaint of the appellant dated 10.04.2021 relates to the matter of maintaining and checking of roster registers prepared for the promotion in different cadres which has to be done by the committee from Housefed Punjab, for which, the office of Housefed was asked vide letter dated 21.06.2022. It was followed by a reminder dated 24.06.2022 (to get the roaster register checked from the constituted committee and send the checking report)but the same has yet not been received from them.

Appeal Case No. 4664 of 2021

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to give an appropriate point-wise reply on an affidavit to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

Further, it has been observed that the petitioner is in a quandary over the release of his pension and moving from pillar to post to get his due pension. I am marking this to the Managing Director, HOUSEFED Punjab to look into the matter and resolve it so that the petitioner is given his dues and live his retired life with dignity.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

CC to: Managing Director,
HOUSEFED, Pb, Sector 34,
Chandigarh.



Sh Nachatar Singh,
VPO Puhla,
Tehsil & Distt Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Scheduled Castes,
Pb, Room No-8, 4th Floor,
Pb Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Scheduled Castes,
Pb, Room No-8, 4th Floor,
Pb Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 244 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Nachattar Singh as the Appellant
Smt.Jaswinder Kaur, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 24.12.2019 has sought information regarding a copy of the action taken report on his complaint dated 06.06.2017 relating to the recruitment of Patwari from the office of Chairman, Punjab State Scheduled Castes Commission, Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 15.01.2020 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 01.09.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present informed that since the matter is under consideration with the Commission, the reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.01.2020. The respondent further informed that since the matter relates to the SC/ST commission, the appellant was asked vide letter dated 13.09.2017 to submit an SC certificate to enable the commission to pursue his complaint, which the applicant failed to supply and after which his complaint was closed.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Parminderjeet Singh,
R/o Urpar,
SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o State Transport Commission,
Sector-17-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commission,
Sector-17-C, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 08 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Smt.Kulwinder Kaur, Suptd. for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 30.09.2021 has sought information 06 points regarding the time limit for issuing driving license after completing all formalities – the reasons behind the long delay in issuing of the license against application No.3032129620 – the name & designation of the officer responsible for delay – action taken on the complaint filed through email on 09.08.2021, 18.08.2021 & 29.09.2021 – if action not taken reasons thereof as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of STC Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.10.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC SBS Nagar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 01.11.2021 and again on 13.06.2022 and a copy of the same was sent to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented as well as not pointed out the discrepancies.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observes that the appellant basically wants the reasons behind the long delay in issuance of a driving license even after completing all formalities.

Regarding this, the respondent informed that since the application for issuance of the driving license was processed at the level of office of SDM-SBS Nagar, the information can only be provided by the office of SDM-SBS Nagar.

The SDM-SBS Nagar is directed to look at point-2 & 3 of the RTI application, (a copy of which is being sent alongwith the order) and reply appropriately, as per the RTI Act, with a copy to the Commission. The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to :SDM-SBS Nagar (Nawanshahar)



Sh Ramesh Kumar, s/o Sh Amar Nath,
H No-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 65 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Rashminder Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 12.07.2021 has sought the action taken report on the applications of the appellant (12 number) as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP-Rural Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.09.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the respondent, the information relates to FIR No.83/18 Police Station Raja Sansi and an enquiry on all the applications filed by the appellant relating to this FRI has been conducted and the applications have been filed. The enquiry report has already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has not received the information.

The PIO is directed to provide whatever action has been taken on the applications along with the complete enquiry report to the appellant with the a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ramesh Kumar, s/o Sh Amar Nath,
H No-U-1652, Sadar Nagar,
Opp Old Central Jail, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 63 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Rashminder Singh, ASI O/o SSP for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 21.06.2021 has sought information action taken report on the applications of the appellant (11 number) as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of IGP Border Range, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.09.2021 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the respondent, the information relates to FIR No.83/18 Police Station Raja Sansi and an enquiry on all the applications filed by the appellant relating to this FRI has been conducted and the applications have been filed. The enquiry report has already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has not received the information.

The PIO is directed to provide whatever action has been taken on the applications and the complete enquiry report to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt Veerpal Kaur, W/o Sh Dawinder Singh,
R/o H NO-274, Ward nO-3, Sikh Mohalla,
Near Govt Girls School, Gidderbaha,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sr Medical Officer,
CHC Goniana, Distt Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 682 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Anil Goyal, SMO –CHC Goniana for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 24.01.2022 has sought information on 02 points regarding details of natural death recorded in the office from 15.03.2021 to 01.04.2021 relating to village Bhokhra Tehsil Bathinda copy of Goshwara Form of said natural deaths as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-CHC Goniana, District Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.01.2022 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib/Bathinda. As per the respondent, the information has already been supplied to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has also sent a copy of the acknowledgement of the appellant which has been taken on record.

The appellant is absent nor represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sanpreet Singh, S/o Sh Hakam Singh,
R/o Madhir , Tehsil Gidderbaha,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 358 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pal Singh Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 09.10.2021 has sought information on 04 points regarding a copy of the complaints received against the erring officials -the charge sheet issued regarding the storage of wheat in godowns and its distribution in the Malout Block – the details of the erring official was found guilty and the enquiry conducted and transfer on the basis of the enquiry report – recovery, if any ordered. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 17.11.2021 which did not decide on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO asked the appellant vide letter dated 18.11.2021 to deposit requisite fee of Rs.140/- which the appellant deposited on 27.11.2021 but the information was not provided.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per the respondent, the information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 27.12.2021.

The appellant is absent nor is represented as well as not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocompunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No.1103 of 2021

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 29.06.2021 has sought information on 04 points regarding alcohol samples collected from the year 2015 – a copy of the register containing the entry of samples collected – a copy of the receipts regarding payment of samples collected – a copy of registration certificate issued to alcohol shops/contractors and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 31.08.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Bathinda. Both the parties are absent.

The appelland vide email has informed that he has received the information and that his case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022**

**Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**



Dr. Harsimran Singh,
Professor & Eye Unit-2 Head,
Govt Medical College, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deptt of Medical Education & Research,
Medical Sikhya Bhawan, Sector-69,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deptt of Medical Education & Research,
Medical Sikhya Bhawan, Sector-69,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 681 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Harsimran Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 24.09.2021 has sought information on 03 points regarding a copy of the ME Number 1ME1-Pb-2021/15351 dated 05.08.2021 (DRME letter) – a copy of the Pb Govt. letter No.Memo No.16/38/2013-2HBIII/7640 dated 02.09.2021 and copy of Pb Govt letter No.16/38/2013-2HBIII/7821 dated 14.09.2021 with attachment sent to Director Principal Govt Medical College, Patiala as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Department of Medical Education & Research, Pb Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 30.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply on 17.11.2021 to the appellant to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent is absent.

The appellant informed that he has received the information but the same is not certified.

The PIO is directed to send a certified copy of the information to the appellant.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No.1072 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 29.06.2021 has sought information on 04 points regarding alcohol samples collected from the year 2015 – a copy of the register containing the entry of samples collected – a copy of receipts regarding payment of samples collected – a copy of registration certificate issued to alcohol shops/contractors and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 19.08.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Bathinda. Both the parties are absent.

The appellant has informed telephonically that he has received the information and that his case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner